**Reduction in prices of items for APL and BPL families**

2007. SHRI MATILAL SARKAR: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) the percentage of BPL population in different States of the country and also the average percentage all over the country;

(b) whether it is a fact that the prices of foodgrains and other essential commodities in the fair price shops for the APL families are very close to the prices in the open market; and

(c) whether Government are contemplating to reduce the prices of foodgrains, sugar, kerosene oil, etc. both for APL and BPL families as early as possible?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (DR. AKHILESH PRASAD SINGH): (a) The Planning Commission estimates poverty at the national and State level from the large sample survey on household consumer expenditure conducted by the National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) at an interval of approximately five years following the methodology contained in the report of the Expert Group on Estimation of Proportion and Number of Poor (Lakdawala Committee). Using this methodology, the State-wise number and percentage of population living below poverty line is estimated from the State-specific poverty lines and the State-specific consumption distribution obtained from the large sample survey on household consumer expenditure conducted by the National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO). The latest State-wise estimates of the percentage and number of persons living below the poverty line are available for the year 1999-2000 based on the large sample survey of consumer expenditure data of the 55th Round of NSS. These are given in the enclosed Statement (See below).

(b) and (c) No, Sir.

***Statement***

*Number and Percentage of Population Below Poverty Line by States*
*1999-2000*

| No. | States/U.T.'s | Rural | | Urban | | Combined | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. of Persons (Lakhs) | % of Persons | No. of Persons (Lakhs) | % of Persons | No. of Persons (Lakhs) | % of Persons |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 58.13 | 11.05 | 60.88 | 26.63 | 119.01 . | 15.77 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 3.80 | 40.04 | 0.18 | 7.47 | 3.98 | 33.47 |
| 3. | Assam | 92.17 | 40.04 | 2.38 | 7.47 | 94.55 | 36.09 |
| 4. | Bihar | 376.51 | 44.30 | 49.13 | 32.91 | 425.64 | 42.60 |
| 5. | Goa | 0.11 | 1.35 | 0.59 | 7.52 | 0.70 | 4.40 |
| 6. | Gujarat | 39.80 | 13.17 | 28.09 | 15.59 | 67.89 | 14.07 |
| 7. | Haryana | 11.94 | 8.27 | 5.39 | 9.99 | 17.34 | 8.74 |
| 8. | Himachal Pradesh | 4.84 | 7.94 | 0.29 | 4.63 | 5.12 | 7.63 |
| 9. | Jammu & Kashmir | 2.97 | 3.97 | 0.49 | 1.98 | 3.46 | 3.48 |
| 10. | Karnataka | 59.91 | 17.38 | 44.49 | 25.25 | 104.40 | 20.04 |
| 11. | Kerala | 20.97 | 9.38 | 20.07 | 20.27 | 41.04 | 12.72 |
| 12. | Madhya Pradesh | 217.32 | 37.06 | 81.22 | 38.44 | 298.54 | 37.43 |
| 13. | Maharashtra | 125.12 | 23.72 | 102.87 | 26.81 | 227.99 | 25.02 |
| 14. | Manipur | 6.53 | 40.04 | 0.66 | 7.47 | 7.19 | 28.54 |
| 15. | Meghalaya | 7.89 | 40.04 | 0.34 | 7.47 | 8.23 | 33.87 |
| 16. | Mizoram | 1.40 | 40.04 | 0.45 | 7.47 | 1.85 | 19.47 |
| 17. | Nagaland | 5.21 | 40.04 | 0.28 | 7.47 | 5.49 | 32.67 |
| 18. | Orissa | 143.69 | 48.01 | 25.40 | 42.83 | 169.09 | 47.15 |
| 19. | Punjab | 10.20 | 6.35 | 4.29 | 5.75 | 14.49 | 6.16 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 55.06 | 13.74 | 26.78 | 19.85 | 81.83 | 15.28 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 2.00 | 40.04 | 0.04 | 7.47 | 2.05 | 36.55 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu | 80.51 | 20.55 | 49.97 | 22.11 | 130.48 | 21.12 |
| 23. | Tripura | 12.53 | 40.04 | 0.49 | 7.47 | 13.02 | 34.44 |
| 24. | Uttar Pradesh | 412.01 | 31.22 | 117.88 | 30.89 | 529.89 | 31.15 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 25 | West Bengal | 180.11 | 31.85 | 33.38 | 14.86 | 213.49 | 27.02 |
| 26 | A&N Island | 0.58 | 20.55 | 0.24 | 22.11 | 0.82 | 20.99 |
| 27 | Chandigarh | 0.06 | 5.75 | 0.45 | 5.75 | 0,51 | 5.75 |
| 28 | Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 0.30 | 17.57 | 0.03 | 13.52 | 0.33 | 17.14 |
| 29 | Daman & Diu | 0.01 | 1.35 | 0.05 | 7.52 | 0.06 | 4.44 |
| 30 | Delhi | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11.42 | 9.42 | 11.49 | 8.23 |
| 31 | Lakshadweep | 0.03 | 9.38 | 0.08 | 20.27 | 0.11 | 15.60 |
| 32 | -Pondicherry | 0.64 | 20.55 | 1.77 | 22.11 | 2.41 | 21.67 |
| | All India | 1932.43 | 27.09 | 670.07 | 2362 | 2602.50 | 26.10 |

**Corruption in FCI**

2008. DR. M.A.M. RAMASWAMY: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a large scale corruption in Food Corporation of India (FCI) leading to neglect of farmer's interest;

(b) if so, the details of corruption cases detected in FCI;

(c) the action taken by Government in all those cases; and

(d) the remedial action taken by the Central Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (DR. AKHILESH PRASAD SINGH): (a) No, Sir. To protect the interests of farmers the Food Corporation of India (FCI) takes necessary steps to procure foodgrains at Minimum Support Prices.

(b) and (c) The number of incidents of corruption cases detected against the Food Corporation of India (FCI) officers and action taken during the last three years is given in the enclosed Statement-I (See below).

(d) The remedial steps taken to check corruption by FCI are given in the enclosed Statement-ll *(See* below).